

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 27 2008

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

WATT et al.

Atty. Ref.: 550-476; Confirmation No. 2208

Appl. No. 10/714,484

TC/A.U. 2194

Filed: November 17, 2003

Examiner: A. Scye

For: HANDLING MULTIPLE INTERRUPTS IN A DATA PROCESSING SYSTEM
UTILISING MULTIPLE OPERATING SYSTEMSOK to enter
AS 03/19/2008

* * * * *

February 27, 2008

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER RULE 116

This Request for Reconsideration is responsive to the Final Official Action mailed November 27, 2007 (Paper No. 20071113), the date of response to which is February 27, 2008.

Claims 1-13 stand rejected in the outstanding Official Action. Claims 1-13 are not amended and therefore are the only claims remaining in this application.

In section 4, page 2 of the Final Rejection, claim 7 is rejected under 35 USC §112 (first paragraph) as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The Examiner apparently contends that the new limitation "circuitry" is unsupported and is not properly enabled in the Official Action. It is noted that the original claim wording of claim 7 described "processing logic" which operates to perform the subsequently recited steps in claim 7, i.e., the steps of receiving an interrupt, starting a stub interrupt handling routine, suspending execution of said

BEST AVAILABLE COPY